

The Examining Authority  
The Planning Inspectorate  
3/01 Kite Wing  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Temple Quay  
Bristol BS1 6PN

**Date:** 21 January 2016  
**Your ref:** TR030002  
**Our ref:** HUTTON\212082-000007  
**Direct:** +44 20 7919 0660  
**Email:** moragthomson@eversheds.com

**BY EMAIL ONLY ([YorkPotashHarbour@pins.qsi.gov.uk](mailto:YorkPotashHarbour@pins.qsi.gov.uk))**

Dear Sir

**THE PLANNING ACT 2008 (AS AMENDED) - APPLICATION FOR YORK POTASH LIMITED FOR AN ORDER GRANTING DEVELOPMENT CONSENT FOR THE YORK POTASH HARBOUR FACILITIES**

I have re-reviewed the submission made by the Marine Management Organisation for Deadline 8 and understand that the local planning authority have made no comment on this.

The Examining Authority will be aware that the Applicant has sought throughout the Examination to resist the scenario whereby there are two separate consenting obligations for approval of the same details. In this regard, should it be considered that the MMO should be involved in approving the archaeological details, the Applicant suggests that instead of duplicating the condition in the DML as suggested by the MMO, requirement 10 could be amended in a similar vein to requirement 9 so that both the local planning authority and the MMO are required to consent.

Yours sincerely



**Morag Thomson**  
*Partner*  
*Planning and Infrastructure Consenting*  
Eversheds LLP